IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO : 5686 of 2006

DATED: 11.9.2006

Between:

K.Rambabu and others petitioners

And

APSRTC,
Rep by its Managing Director
Musheerabad, Hyderabad and 2 others Respondents

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V.RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO. 5686 OF 2006

ORAL ORDER:

The present writ petition is filed questioning the action of the respondents in not extending the benefits of pre-ponment of decasualisation to the petitioners in pursuance of proceedings dated 30.8.2003 and 30.1.2004.

The petitioners herein are employed as Conductors in the respondent corporation having appointed on compassionate grounds. The grievance of the petitioners is that they are entitled to regularization of their services from the date of initial appointment and the said benefit was not given to them and they were regularized from a subsequent date. It is alleged in the writ petition that similarly placed persons were given the benefit of postponement of de-casualisation and paid the difference wages in terms of proceedings dated 30.8.2003 and 30.1.2004 and the same benefit is not extended to them. Hence, the present writ petition.

Heard the learned counsel for petitioner and learned Standing Counsel fore respondent corporation.

In the present case, petitioners claim that they are entitled to benefit of postponement of their de-casualisation and similar benefit was extended to others and they were deprived of the same. It is not the case of the petitioners that they had approached the concerned

authorities and the benefit, which they are claiming in the present writ petition, was denied to them. Without approaching the concerned authorities and even without submitting a representation for extension of the benefits to which they are entitled to, the petitioners rushed to this Court. In the circumstances, without going into the merits of the matter, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition directing the petitioners to submit a detailed representation to second respondent seeking the benefits sought for in the present writ petition. On submission of such a representation, the second respondent is directed to consider the same and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law expeditiously.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

_____

N.V.RAMANA,J

DATE: 11-9-2006

TVK

42

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO : 5686 of 2006

DATED: 11.9.2006